

Subject: State Resolutions to Block the North American Union and Repeal NAFTA

Rep./Senator _____,

I'm writing to you regarding what is popularly becoming known as the North American Union (NAU), which refers to an ongoing process based on NAFTA and the Security and Prosperity Partnership (SPP) to merge the United States, Mexico, and Canada.

I firmly believe that the NAU merger process is a reality that must be stopped in order to preserve our personal freedoms and national sovereignty. In fact, by its refusal to enforce our nation's existing immigration laws, the federal government is already acting as if the NAU is a reality. However, the American people and their elected representatives in the U.S. Congress have never agreed to establish the NAU. You have probably seen this topic covered in numerous Lou Dobbs and Glenn Beck TV news programs on CNN.

I urge you to take a look at a new issue of *The New American* magazine called "Merger in the Making: North American Union Edition"

(<http://www.thenewamerican.com/files/documents/MergerInTheMaking.pdf>) regarding the North American Union threat. I particularly urge you to read two of the articles in the "Merger in the Making" issue, "Myth vs. Fact" (<http://thenewamerican.com/files/MythVsFact071015.pdf>, an interactive PDF of the article with working links to source documents) and "Signs of Hope" (<http://thenewamerican.com/node/5862>), an article that includes a color-coded map showing which 18 states had an anti-NAU resolution introduced in the state legislature in 2007, the three states where both houses passed such a resolution, and the two states where one house passed an NAU resolution. Since that article was published, an anti-NAU resolution has been introduced in the Ohio General Assembly.

Here are links to the model state NAU resolution

(<http://www.jbs.org/files/campaigns/nau/ModelResolution.pdf>) that was the basis for the resolutions introduced in the 18 states mentioned above, and a link to a closely-related repeal NAFTA model resolution (<http://www.jbs.org/files/campaigns/nafta/naftamodelstateresolution.pdf>) that many states will be considering in 2008.

Here are links to the actual anti-NAU resolutions that were passed by both houses in three states in 2007: Idaho (<http://tinyurl.com/yvxpj>)

Montana (<http://data.opi.mt.gov/bills/2007/billhtml/HJ0025.htm>)

Oklahoma (http://webserver1.lsb.state.ok.us/2007-08bills/SB/SCR10_SCR.RTF)

Passage of such anti-NAU resolutions by as many state legislatures as possible in 2008 would help mightily to convince our representatives and senators in the U.S. Congress to support H.C.R. 40, "Expressing the sense of Congress that the United States should not engage in the construction of a North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Superhighway System or enter into a North American Union with Mexico and Canada," H.C.R. 22, "Expressing the sense of Congress that the President should provide notice of withdrawal of the United States from the North American Free Trade Agreement, NAFTA," and further legally binding measures to defund and dismantle the SPP and withdraw U.S. membership in NAFTA. As of November 26, 2007, there were already 43 cosponsors of H.C.R. 40.

I would like to make an appointment to discuss the possibility of these NAU and NAFTA resolutions being introduced in our state legislature in 2008. I'll be contacting you in the next week or so regarding making an appointment to discuss this matter further.

Sincerely,